

TransCanada's AGIA Application Statewide Legislative Hearings



TransCanada's Objectives – Alaska Project






- Early in-service
 - Largest investment opportunity in core business line and geographic footprint
 - Utilize spare capacity on existing North American pipelines
 - LNG market as alternative investment opportunity
- Encourage long-run basin development
 - Serve In-State and other markets
 - Increase market and supply diversity
 - Growth investment opportunities
 - Pipeline expansions can create "virtuous circle"
 - Pipeline expansions promote more exploration and drilling which, if successful, leads to more pipeline expansions
- Equitable treatment for all customers
 - 50-year successful track record of balancing interests
 - Initial and future
 - Large and small



TransCanada's Credentials







	TransCanada Total	Alaska Pipeline Project
Miles of Pipe • in U.S.	36,500 • 12,000	1,715 • 750 in Alaska
Compression Horsepower	5,370,000	750,000 • 265,000 in Alaska
Throughput Volumes	15 bcf/d	4.5 bcf/d

1957/58 TransCanada's Mainline	Original build across Canada 2,300 miles
<u>1990s</u> Expansion	7,000 miles Completed within 0.6% of budget and on schedule
<u>2008 – 2009</u> Keystone Pipe	2,150 miles New build in U.S. – 1,380 miles



Proven Basin Developer – Alberta Example

















Regulatory Structure


- Independent pipeline model
- **Rolled-in tolls**
- 3 customers in 1958, 300+ today

Proven Basin Developer – Mainline Example 1960












Proven Basin Developer – Mainline Example 2008





AGIA "Must Haves"





AGIA "Must Haves"	TransCanada's Application	Completeness
1. Filed by deadline	Filed on November 30, 2007	1
2. Project details & schedule	Alaska Highway route 5 bcf/d GTP and 48" 2500/2600 psi pipe 2017 November in-service*	✓
Open season date certain Apply for FERC pre-filing Apply for FERC CPCN	Completed by Sept. 2009* June 2010* - not contingent on Open Season December 2011* - as above	1
4. RCA filing	N/A	N/A
5. Open season frequency	Once every 2 years	1
6. Expansions - Commitment to expand in engineering increments	Yes, 4.5 bcf/d initial design capacity Expandable to 5.9 bcf/d with compression only	✓
7. Rolled-in tolls	Up to 115% of initial rates in Alaska Full rolled-in rates in Canada	√
8. Gas treatment plant	TransCanada will build if 3 rd parties do not	1
9. State reimbursement	Up to \$500 million	√

^{*} Subject to AGIA license by April 2008



AGIA "Must Haves"





AGIA "Must Haves"	TransCanada's Application	Completeness
10. Project debt ratio minimum	Construction - 70% Operation - 75% (to reduce tolls)	1
11. Capital cost overrun measures	TransCanada's return reduction (penalty) Potential \$18 B loan guarantee (stable tolls)	1
12. In-state deliveries	Min. 5 delivery points	1
13. In-state delivery rates	Distance sensitive rates	1
14. Local headquarters in Alaska	Yes	1
15. Local hire, local businesses, etc.	Opportunities for local hire and businesses	1
16. Waive right to appeal	Waived	1
17. Project labor agreement	Commit to negotiate PLA	1
18. Treatment of State reimbursement	Excluded from rate base	1
19. Details of Applicant	Provided	1
20.Readiness, financial resources and technical ability of Applicant	Proven record and demonstrated capability	1



TransCanada's Competitive Response to AGIA




- TransCanada bid to win competitive enhancements
 - Initial system design with inexpensive expandability
 - Gas treatment plant ownership, if no 3rd party willing to build
 - Equity opportunity for shippers committing gas in initial open season
 - 75% debt vs. 70% minimum limit in AGIA
 - Toll reduction of \$0.09/mmbtu
 - TransCanada's return reduction in event of capital cost overruns
 - Fort Nelson Option upside
 - Toll reduction of \$0.13 -\$0.18/mmbtu
 - LNG alternative if insufficient gas commitments through Canada, or via Y-line



Alaska Pipeline Project





- Alberta Hub is the most liquid market in North America
- TransCanada's Alberta
 System is the Alberta Hub
- Access to all North American markets coast-to-coast on TransCanada's existing pipelines
 - By 2018, spare takeaway capacity sufficient for full Alaska volumes
- One-third of Alaska pipeline in-service as Prebuild moving 3 BCFD
- LNG alternative if insufficient gas commitments through Canada or via Y-line



Project Description



- Gas treatment plant at Prudhoe Bay
 - 5 Bcf/d initial capacity
 - TransCanada will develop/own only if necessary
- Natural gas pipeline from Prudhoe Bay to Alberta Hub
 - 4.5 Bcf/d initial capacity
 - Expansion to 5.9 Bcf/d with compression only
 - More than 1700 miles
 - 48-inch diameter; 2500/2600 psig
- Alberta Hub to Lower 48
 - TransCanada's existing pipeline system in Alberta is the "Alberta Hub"
 - TransCanada's Alberta pipeline is both a physical and commercial system
 - Largest natural gas trading hub in North America
 - By 2018, downstream pipelines projected to have spare capacity for full Alaska volumes

Project Economics ¹



- Capital costs
 - \$26 billion (2007 \$US excluding AFUDC)
 - Approximately \$0.6 billion for Open Season and regulatory certification
- Tolls
 - \$US 2.76/MMbtu in 2018 to the Alberta Hub
 - Levelized negotiated toll for 4.5 Bcf/d in nominal dollars, including fuel
 - Expansion Tolls
 - Rolled-in tolls in Canada
 - Rolled-in tolls in Alaska up to 115% of initial tolls, including fuel

¹ Based on information provided by the State and current TransCanada estimates



12

Financial Parameters



- **Debt/Equity Ratio**
 - 70/30 during construction
 - 75/25 upon completion of initial project
 - 60/40 for all expansions
- Return on Equity
 - U.S. 10-year Treasury Note plus 965 basis points
 - TransCanada's ROE will be adjusted downward in first 5 years by up to 200 basis points in the event of CAPEX overruns
- Fuel
 - 7.9% including GTP from Prudhoe Bay to Alberta Hub
 - \$US 0.35/MMbtu in 2018 @ 4.5 Bcf/d




June 2008

Project Schedule







* AGIA license assumed to be issued in August 2008



June 2008

Partnership Opportunity



- TransCanada will offer equity opportunity to Shippers in the initial Open Season that subscribe for a threshold volume
 - Should improve likelihood of success and alignment of interests between project sponsors and Shippers



Upstream Fiscal Terms



- TransCanada's AGIA obligations are not conditional on a review of Alaska's upstream fiscal terms.
- TransCanada acknowledges that this issue is between the State and natural gas producers.
 - TransCanada requests that the State review upstream fiscal terms for natural gas prior to the initial open season.



Other Project Components



- Natural Gas Liquids (NGLs) Extraction
 - TransCanada can accommodate NGL extraction in Alaska or downstream
 - TransCanada's Alberta system is straddled by three NGL complexes owned by third parties
 - Excess capacity expected at those plants sufficient to process Alaskan gas if Shippers so choose
- LNG Alternative
 - TransCanada is willing to offer gas treatment and transportation services from Prudhoe Bay to an LNG terminal should insufficient gas be committed through Canada or via a Y-line



Regulatory Structure





- Alaska
 - TransCanada Alaska Company, LLC will proceed under Alaska Natural Gas Pipeline Act of 2004
- Canada
 - Foothills Pipe Lines Ltd. will proceed under the Northern Pipeline Act (NPA)
- Canada/U.S. Treaty
 - The pipeline will follow the route set out in the Treaty and the NPA



AGIA "Must-haves" Promote Basin Development





- Rolled-in tolls up to 115% of initial rates in Alaska
- Open Season every 2 years
- In-State deliveries
 - Distance-sensitive tolls
 - Minimum 5 delivery points
- Low equity ratio requirement for pipeline sponsors
- State fiscal incentives (if any) targeted to AGIA pipeline shippers



Long-run Basin Development -**Pipeline Expansions**





- Value to Producers / Governments?
- Does Alaska have enough gas?
- Drilling impacts?
- Impact of rolled-in tolls?



Value of Potential Expansions (\$Billions)¹





Producer/Govts.	Expansion
Total Revenue *	Value

Base Project

- 25 years @ 4.5 Bcfd \$350 Billion

Expansions

Case I

- Base volumes for 10 years (4.5 Bcf/d)
- \$600 Billion - 30% expansion for 25 years (5.9 Bcf/d) \$250 Billion

Case II

- Base volumes for 10 years (4.5 Bcf/d)
- \$350 Billion - 60% expansion for 25 years (7.2 Bcf/d) \$700 Billion

- Direct revenue only
 - no indirect impacts from additional E&P activity and spin-offs





¹ Assumes annual average netback of \$6.89/MMbtu

Basin Development – Western Canada Example










- Pipeline expansion can create "virtuous circle"
 - More exploration and drilling
 - If successful, leads to more pipeline expansion
- Exploration and drilling drives service industry and employment over long term



Impact of Rolled-in Tolls?







Alaska & Yukon-B.C. sections only

June 2008

Assumed Volumes: 4.5 Bcf/d years 1 & 2

5.9 Bcf/d years 3 & 4,

6.5 Bcf/d years 5 & 6,


7.2 Bcf/d years 7 & beyond



Impact of Rolled-in Tolls?









- Rolled-in tolls increase chance of expansions above 5.9 Bcf/d
 - 35% lower tolls for expansion customers to 6.5 Bcf/d
 - 50% lower to 7.2 Bcf/d

June 2008




Summary



- Last year, the Administration and Legislature established AGIA as Alaska's transparent and competitive process to advance a gas pipeline project
 - AGIA was structured to encourage:
 - Construction of base project
 - Long-run basin development
 - Open access terms for:
 - Initial and future shippers
 - In-State, Lower 48, and LNG markets
- TransCanada has the credentials and capacity to build, own, operate and expand the project
- TransCanada's objectives are aligned with AGIA
 - Early in-service
 - Long-run basin development
 - Open access equitable treatment for all customers





Thank You

