

LEGISLATIVE BUDGET & AUDIT COMMITTEE

REPRESENTATIVE RALPH SAMUELS, CHAIRMAN

April 5, 2008

Anthony M. Palmer Vice-President Alaska Development TransCanada PipeLines Limited 450 – 1st Street S.W. Calgary, AB, T2P 5H1 Canada

SENT BY FACSIMILIE TRANSMISSION

Dear Mr. Palmer:

This letter is our seventh request for additional information and clarification on issues surrounding your AGIA application. The first question posed to you in our fifth request (indicated in red below) was incorrect and the purpose of this letter is to restate this question correctly.

<u>Recourse Rates and Negotiated Rates</u> – TransCanada proposes Recourse Rates for Alaska and both Recourse Rates and Negotiated Rates for Canada. Are there certain terms of the tariff that we should be aware of that react differently to Recourse Rates or Negotiated Rates? Is there a reason why Negotiated Rates were not offered in Alaska?

Following is the restated question:

Recourse Rates and Negotiated Rates – TransCanada has proposed both Recourse and Negotiated Rates in Alaska, as the FERC requires, and only Negotiated rates in Canada because this is all the NEB requires. Are there certain terms of the tariff that we should be aware of that react differently to Recourse Rates or Negotiated Rates? Is there a reason why Recourse Rates are not offered in Canada?

Again, we look forward to your timely response to this question.

Sincerely,

Ralph Samuels, Chair

Legislative Budget and Audit Committee